

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,578	11/24/2003	Michael Alan Morris	025265-270	1700
	7590 07/03/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404		VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
	÷			
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Summan	10/718,578	MORRIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr viill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ine 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/718,578

Art Unit: 1732

1. Given that the previous rejection contained new grounds of rejection, the prosecution of the case has been reopened. However, this action is being made final.

- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Volk in view of the admitted prior art as disclosed at paragraphs 8, 9 and 38 of the instant specification and Reshef et al (see col. 2, lines 57-60) for reasons of record noting the following. Applicant submits that Volk does not disclose cutting the back surface of the lens. However, such can be found at column 7, lines 58-62; column 9, lines 64+; and column 10, lines 26-29. The grinding of the back surface taught in Volk is submitted to include the instant "cutting". For the record, the paragraphs noted in the instant specification were obtained from the PGPub. Paragraphs 0008 and 0009 correspond to page 2, line 21 through page 3, line 10 of the instant specification. Paragraph 0038, teaching instant claim 7, is at page 10, lines 3-12.

3.Applicant's arguments filed June 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submits that it would not have been obvious to modify the method of Volk by making a lens with the instant radius of curvature or hollow depth.

Such is simply not agreed with. The depth of Volk is around 6 mm and to increase this to 8 mm or so surely would have been obvious. While the radius of curvature of Volk is determined to be around 90-100 mm as noted by applicant, it is submitted that the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,578

Art Unit: 1732

instant radius of curvature is well known in the art and that one of ordinary skill would have modified the method of Volk to arrive at such desiring a lens with that curvature. While the instant lenses may not be in common use, there is nothing of record to indicate any problems concerning the molding thereof or that such would necessarily be problematic. Hence, it is maintained that the exact radius of curvature of the lens would have been within the skill level of the art. Again, the instant cutting of the back surface is submitted to be taught in Volk, as is the molding thereof—the lens is initially molded.

4.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/718,578 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot June 27, 2007 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

6/27/07